South Walks House South Walks Road Dorchester DT1 1UZ

Tel: (01305) 838336

Website: www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk



Mrs Adams
The Drawing Office
2 Wishay Street
Poundbury
Dorchester
DT1 3GU

BROADMAYNE

APPROVAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 (DMPO)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

This permission does not carry any approval or consent which may be required under any enactment, byelaw, order or regulation (eg in relation to Building Regulations or the Diversion of Footpaths etc) other than Section 57 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Application No: WD/D/20/001152

Location of Development:

23 WATERGATES LANE, BROADMAYNE, DORCHESTER, DT2 8HA

Description of Development:

Erect first floor extension

In pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act(s), Dorset Council **HEREBY GRANT PLANNING PERMISSION** for the development described in the application specified above, and the plans listed below.

SUBJECT TO ATTACHED SCHEDULE OF THREE CONDITIONS

Signed:

Mike Garrity

Nominated Officer

Dated: 24 July, 2020

Myynto

PLEASE REFER TO NOTES ENCLOSED

Chief Executive: M Prosser

APPLICATION NO: WD/D/20/001152 23 WATERGATES LANE, BROADMAYNE, DORCHESTER, DT2 8HA SCHEDULE OF CONDITIONS

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Location Plan and Existing Floor Plans and Elevations - Drawing Number 2020/09/01 received on 21/05/2020
Site Plan, Proposed Floor Plans and Elevations - Drawing Number 2020/09/02 received on 21/05/2020

REASON: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

REASON: This condition is required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

3. The external materials to be used for the walls and roof shall be similar in colour and texture to the existing building.

REASON: To ensure a satisfactory visual appearance of the development.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development. The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applications/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case the application was acceptable as submitted and no further assistance was required.

Further application(s) should be submitted to this Council where the above condition(s) require the written approval of the local planning authority. All such applications must be made in writing and must be accompanied by the relevant fee. To apply please visit www.planningportal.co.uk.

_

South Walks House South Walks Road Dorchester DT1 1UZ Tel: (01305) 838336

Website: www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk



Mr Williams
N.A.Williams Building
Consultancy
40 Lorne Road
Dorchester
DT1 2LH

BROADMAYNE

APPROVAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 (DMPO)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

This permission does not carry any approval or consent which may be required under any enactment, byelaw, order or regulation (eg in relation to Building Regulations or the Diversion of Footpaths etc) other than Section 57 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Application No: WD/D/20/000839

Location of Development:

8 HIGH TREES, BROADMAYNE, DORCHESTER, DT2 8ER

Description of Development:

Erection of entrance porch

In pursuance of their powers under the above mentioned Act(s), Dorset Council **HEREBY GRANT PLANNING PERMISSION** for the development described in the application specified above, and the plans listed below.

SUBJECT TO ATTACHED SCHEDULE OF TWO CONDITIONS

Signed:

Mike Garrity

Nominated Officer

Mygnin

PLEASE REFER TO NOTES ENCLOSED Dated: 27 July, 2020

Chief Executive: M Prosser

APPLICATION NO: WD/D/20/000839 8 HIGH TREES, BROADMAYNE, DORCHESTER, DT2 8ER SCHEDULE OF CONDITIONS

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:

Location Plan received on 06/04/2020 Block Plan received on 06/04/2020 Proposed Part Ground Floor Plans and Elevations - Drawing Number 8HT 2020 002 received on 29/05/2020

REASON: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

2. The development to which this permission relates must be begun not later than the expiration of three years beginning with the date of this permission.

REASON: This condition is required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

NOTES TO APPLICANT

National Planning Policy Framework Statement

In accordance with paragraph 38 of the NPPF the council, as local planning authority, takes a positive approach to development proposals and is focused on providing sustainable development. The council works with applicants/agents in a positive and proactive manner by:

- offering a pre-application advice service, and
- as appropriate updating applications/agents of any issues that may arise in the processing of their application and where possible suggesting solutions.

In this case the application was acceptable as submitted and no further assistance was required.

Chief Executive: M Prosser

WEYMOUTH NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA DESIGNATION

Dear Sir / Madam

We are writing to you because you are a neighbouring Parish Council.

I am pleased to confirm that on the 6 July 2020, the Weymouth Neighbourhood Area was formally designated.

Further details of the designation can be found on our website at: https://www.dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/neighbourhood-plans-in-dorset

Yours sincerely

Spatial Planning Dorset Council

In the time of COVID-19 -

Please note the majority of Dorset Council's Planning staff have commenced working remotely in response to Government calls to stay at home, and our offices are closed to the public. Officers should be contactable via e-mail or telephone, although our ability to access files and post in the office will be limited. We may also experience some limitations in getting access to data files and emails on the Council's network. We would ask that you refrain from sending any documents or correspondence by post if at all possible and instead use electronic communication unless you have no alternative. Please accept our apologies in advance for any disruption to our service during this difficult time, which we are working hard to minimise as much as possible.

Facebook.com/DorsetCouncilUK
Twitter.com/DorsetCouncilUK
Instagram.com/DorsetCouncilUK

To receive the latest news from Dorset Council by email, visit dorsetcouncil.gov.uk/e-newsletter

Consultation responses

Part 1: Proposed answers to the LGA consultation on the draft model member Code of Conduct

I have extracted the questions from the form and set them out below with answers which I hope represent the views of Broadmayne Parish Council. You may recall that Cllr Marriott had answered all of the questions and there was no dissent from what he said. There were some additional comments which I have included, but not the debate about the nebulous nature of some of the concepts.

Q1. To what extent do you support the proposal that councillors demonstrate the behaviours set out in the Code when they are publicly acting as, identifying as, and/or giving the impression that they are acting as a councillor, including when representing their council on official business and when using social media?

To a great extent.

Q2. Is it sufficiently clear which parts of the Model Code are legal requirements, which are obligations, and which are guidance?

It is not immediately obvious which provisions are obligations and which are law. The legal obligations should be listed as such under a suitable heading for impact and clarity.

Q3. Do you prefer the use of the personal tense, as used in the Code, or would you prefer the passive tense?

Passive.

Q4. To what extent to you support the 12 specific obligations?

Support all 12 to a great extent.

[The obligations are:

- 1. Treating other councillors and members of the public with civility.
- Treating council employees, employees and representatives of partner organisations and those volunteering for the councils with civility and respecting the role that they play.
- 3. Not bullying or harassing any person.

- 4. Not compromising, or attempting to compromise, the impartiality of anyone who works for, or on behalf of, the council.
- 5. Not disclosing information given to me in confidence or disclosing information acquired by me which I believe is of a confidential nature, unless I have received the consent of a person authorised to give it or I am required by law to do so.
- 6. Not preventing anyone getting information that they are entitled to by law.
- 7. Not bringing my role or council into disrepute.
- 8. Not using, or attempting to use, my position improperly to the advantage or disadvantage of myself or anyone else
- 9. Not misusing council resources.
- 10. Registering and declaring my interests.
- 11. Not accepting significant gifts or hospitality from persons seeking to acquire, develop or do business with the council or from persons who may apply to the council for any permission, licence or other significant advantage.
- 12. Registering with the monitoring officer any gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25 within 28 days of its receipt.]

Q5. If you would like to propose additional or alternative obligations, or would like to provide more comment on a specific obligation, please do so here:

No comment.

Q6. Would you prefer to see the obligations as a long list followed by the guidance, or as it is set out in the current draft, with the guidance after each obligation?

Option 2 (obligations followed by guidance).

Q7. To what extent to you think the concept of 'acting with civility' is sufficiently clear?

To a great extent.

Q7a. If you would like to suggest an alternative phrase that captures the same meaning, or would like to provide a comment on this concept, please do so here:

No comment.

Q8.To what extent do you think the concept of 'bringing the council into disrepute' is sufficiently clear?

To a great extent.

Q8a. If you would like to suggest an alternative phrase that captures the same meaning, or would like to provide a comment on this concept, please do so here:

No comment.

Q9. To what extent do you support the definition of bullying and harassment used in the code in a local government context?

To a great extent.

Q9a. If there are other definitions you would like to recommend, please provide them here.

No comment.

Q10. Is there sufficient reference to the use of social media?

Yes.

Q10a. Should social media be covered in a separate code or integrated into the overall code of conduct?

Integrated into the code.

Q10b. If you would like to make any comments or suggestions in relation to how the use of social media is covered in the code please do so here:

No comment.

Q11. To what extent to you support the code going beyond the current requirement to declare interests of the councillor and their partner?

To a moderate extent.

Q11a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do so here:

No objection to any strengthening of regulations to uphold standards of practice.

Q12. Should the requirement to declare interests be in the main body of the code or in the appendix where the draft model code currently references it?

Main body of the code (option 1).

Q12a. If you would like to make any comments or suggestions in relation to how the requirement to declare interests is covered in the code please do so here:

Item 7 in Appendix B (which is about Declaring Interests) says:

Where the matter affects the financial interest or well-being \mathbf{X} to a greater extent than it affects the financial interests of the majority of inhabitants of the ward affected by the decision ...

Surely, at **X**, there is a missing description of who is affected - otherwise we can't judge "a greater extent than ...". Presumably this is supposed to read it as part of 6 but on its own it doesn't work.

Q13. To what extent do you support the inclusion of these additional categories for registration?

- Any organisation, association, society or party of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management and to which you are appointed or nominated by the council *To small extent*.
- Any organisation, association, society or party that exercises functions of a public nature of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management *To a small extent*.
- Any organisation, association, society or party directed to charitable purpose To a small extent
- Any organisation, association, society or party of whose principal purposes includes the influence of public opinion or policy (including any political party or trade union) *To a great extent.*

Q13a. If you would like to propose additional or alternative categories for registration, please provide them here:

It would be beneficial for councillors to declare further interests e.g. groups they may be involved in. This would be a safeguard only, as councillors already appear to make such declarations of their own accord.

Q14. To what extent to you support the proposed requirement that councillors do not accept significant gifts as set out in Obligation 11?

To a great extent.

Q14a. If you would like to elaborate on your answer please do so here:

This is a safeguard to avoid accusations of unfair conduct e.g. bribery.

Q15. The draft code proposes £25 as the threshold for registering gifts and hospitality. Is this an appropriate threshold?

Yes, but the amount should be reviewed annually with the code's review.

Q16. The LGA will be producing accompanying guidance to the code. Which of the following types of guidance would you find most useful? Please rank 1-5, with 1 being the most useful.

- Regularly updated examples of case law 1
- Explanatory guidance on the code 3
- Case studies and examples of good practice 2
- Supplementary guidance that focuses on specific areas, e.g., social media 5
- Improvement support materials, such as training and e-learning package 4

Q16a. If you would like to suggest any other accompanying guidance please do so here:

No comment.

Q17. If you would like to make any further comments about the code please so here:

No further comments.

Part 2: 2020 Review of DAPTC Constitution

The comments on this are as follows:

Clause 2 (a)

Why does a Parish Council have to mean a Town Council when they are included in the lists already and even in the title of the organisation?

Clause 8 (a)

Should we be trying to add a gender neutral description of the President in the last sentence? For example, "their absence" would work.

Clause 11

As 8 (a) ... "their absence" would work.

Clause 16 (c)

Shouldn't "Subject sufficient funds being available," be "Subject to sufficient funds being available,"?

Annexes

Although it is stated that the Annexes haven't been significantly revised they have reflected the change to the unitary authority in C 7 and 8 but not in A 1-5.

We have not commented on punctuation throughout the document and this needs to be checked.

Unless Councillors have any further suggestions or amendments I will submit the above comments in response to these two consultations. Please could you consider the following points for addition under Q17 (further comments):

- In attempting to cover both the needs of principal authorities and local councils, the draft fails to address adequately the specific needs of local councils. The principal of one code for all tiers of local government is sound and the differences could possibly be covered in guidance.
- Is the use of the term "civility" strong enough to cover issues such as bullying? Might use of the term "respect and civility" be more appropriate?

Appendix 5: August 2020



The Link

3-5 Palmerston Road

Bournemouth

BH1 4HN

01202 392 954

July 2020

Dear Councillor,

I am writing to you from Dorset Race Equality Council (DREC), which is, as you probably already know, an independent race equality charity in Dorset.

In the following weeks after the tragic death of George Floyd in the US, DREC has been running a few reassurance meetings with community members and local agencies to offer people an opportunity to express their thoughts, feelings, fears, questions around the Black Lives Matter agenda.

One of the outcomes of those meetings has been that DREC has been tasked to write to all chief executives/managers of local agencies in Dorset to ask them what they will be doing differently in their organisation following the recent international, national and local protests.

I would therefore be very grateful if you could send me your outline plan of new actions that you are planning to implement following the recent incidents by August the 31st at the latest.

If you do not have a plan as yet, that is fine. In that case, I would highly recommend that you contact me for us to explore how DREC can support you. We have a few tools and services that can help to embed Equality and Diversity in your organisation such as:

- Interactive training on Unconscious bias and discrimination
- Equality and Diversity Health Checks looking at policies
- Equality Impact Assessment training
- Review and critique of Equality Impact Assessments
- Facilitation of discussions with staff around Black Live Matter
- Community engagement with 36 + ethnic minority community groups in Dorset and some individuals

I hope that you will take this request into consideration and I am looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards

Nathalie Sherring

Chief Officer

Dorset Race Equality Council









Update: The Local Electricity Bill's Reintroduction

I hope that this message finds you well.

I write to update you on the campaign for the Local Electricity Bill. On 10th June it was successfully reintroduced into Parliament following a rousing ten minute speech to the House of Commons by the Bill's lead sponsor, Peter Aldous MP. The Bill's reintroduction was unopposed and so there was no vote – an ideal result for the campaign.

Here is a highlights video of Mr Aldous's speech where he describes the wide-ranging benefits that the Bill would create:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=waNfiKSqDzQ

The impact of Covid-19 means that building local economic strength and resilience is critical. The Bill, if made law, would greatly help with this by empowering local energy suppliers and thus ensuring more of the money we all pay for our electricity circulates in the local economy: investment, local skilled jobs, fuel poverty relief and much more. At the same time, it would create the urgently needed decarbonisation of our energy system by unlocking the huge potential for more community-scale renewable energy generation.

The Bill is co-sponsored by a cross-party group of MPs including Rosie Duffield MP (Labour), Wera Hobhouse MP (Liberal Democrat), Caroline Lucas MP (Green), John McNally MP (SNP Environment Spokesperson), Dame Diana Johnson (Labour), Ben Lake MP (Plaid Cymru), Sir Barry Sheerman MP (Labour and Co-operative Party), Sir Graham Brady MP (Conservative), David Johnston (Conservative), John Penrose MP (Conservative) and Selaine Saxby (Conservative).

So far, 187 MPs, 264 Parish, Town and Community Councils and the National Association of Local Councils (NALC) have pledged to support the Bill. It would be great if your Council joined them as this will encourage more Parliamentary and, ultimately, government support.

May I ask that you please put a motion before your Council to support the Bill? A suggested resolution is attached below. I have also asked your fellow Councillors to do this.

The rationale and a description of what the Bill would do and benefits it would create, if made law, are here: https://powerforpeople.org.uk/the-local-electricity-bill/
A list of the MPs, councils and organisations backing the Bill is here: https://powerforpeople.org.uk/the-local-electricity-bill/support/

If we can provide any assistance with regards to the Council deciding to support the Bill then please let me know.

Kind regards Steve	
Steve Shaw	_
Director, Power for People	

Office: 020 7383 9122; Mobile: 07788 646 933; www.powerforpeople.org.uk 8 Delancey Passage, London, NW1 7N

HIGH SHERIFF AWARDS 2020

It is customary for the High Sheriff of any county to make awards during his/her year. These are normally made to individuals and organisations that the High Sheriff has come into contact with or worked with during the Shrieval Year. These awards are an opportunity to not only recognise the exceptional work underway in the emergency services and criminal justice system, but also to celebrate the fantastic individuals and voluntary organisations who do so much to improve the social, cultural and economic fabric of Dorset life. There will undoubtedly be many to be recognised in this unprecedented year.

However, this year, the Coronavirus pandemic has brought together whole communities to work together for the safety, health and welfare of their areas. In Dorset over 175 of these groups came into being; some pre-existed but many evolved from other groups or were formed specifically as a result of the emergency. The one common thread is that a large number of that community had voluntarily rallied around to support others be it for shopping, collecting medicines or just company and a friendly voice.

In these circumstances, it would difficult to pick out any one individual. I have therefore decided in Dorset to create the High Sheriff's Community Award to groups. As lockdown eases and we are allowed to meet in groups once again (suitably spaced out) I very much look forward to being able to get out and about in the county, hearing of the experiences of those who have given so much and presenting both the Community Award as well as the traditional High Sheriff Award.

So, if you would like to make a nomination for either award, please let me know. I anticipate not a great deal will happen just yet, but once we are able to meet in groups, albeit suitably space out, then I can get out and about, meet people listen to their stories and make presentations.



George Streatfeild, High Sheriff of Dorset 2020-2021 Higher Denhay, Broadoak, Bridport, Dorset, DT6 5NP

Tel: 01308 422770

Mob: 07970 770 750

www.highsheriffdorset.co.uk



Broadmayne Parish Council

Response to The Climate and Ecological Emergency

Paper for a Meeting on [xxx] 2020

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to consider BPC's policy regarding the climate and ecological emergency declared by Dorset Council in 2019 (Action 19/134).

Context

In 2019 Dorset Council (DC) declared a climate and ecological emergency in order to achieve net zero greenhouse gases by 2050 with known technologies and behavioural changes. On 15 July 2020 DC published a News Release and its Draft Climate and Ecological Emergency Strategy. DC is committed to developing and consulting upon an action plan.

Analysis

In general the DC Climate and Ecological Emergency Strategy is well presented and demonstrates a balance between ambition and realism. Importantly DC state their intention to focus on controllable actions. The table below aims to estimate the significance of DC's key recommendations on Broadmayne in terms of impacts and controllability.

Recommendation	Impact	Controllability
Maximise renewable energy opportunities of all DC	High re. Village	Financial &
buildings ; convert all off-grid DC buildings to heat pumps	Hall	technological
or biomass, convert heating of all on-grid buildings to		dependency
hydrogen-ready hybrid heat pumps, install largest		
possible capacity solar arrays on every building		
Construct large renewable energy installation on	Nil	N/A
Council-owned land to meet Council's demand		
Ensure Dorset Council supports sustainable	Yet to be	Action plan
development by prioritising social and environmental	defined	dependency
well-being as well as economic value		
Carry out internal waste audits across our operations	Nil	N/A
and create waste reduction plan		
Increase biodiversity on identified areas of Council land,	Yet to be	Action plan
and increase area of Council owned or managed land for	defined re.	dependency
ecological & carbon sequestration outcomes	playing fields	
	and allotments	
Change as many vehicles within Council fleet as possible	Installation of	Financial
to ultra-low-carbon replacements. Provide electric	vehicle charge	dependency
vehicle charging points and other ultra-low-emission fuel	points	
alternatives across the Council property estate		
Look at new ways of working to reduce carbon	Yet to be	Action plan
emissions as people move from home to work	defined	dependency
Encourage green business growth and tourism as Dorset	Yet to be	Action plan
becomes the clean air place to live and visit.	defined	dependency

Broadmayne Parish Council

This high level analysis indicates that there are material uncertainties and dependencies on technological, economic and societal factors which need to be worked through. Whilst Broadmayne Parish Council (BPC) will no doubt wish to be fully supportive of the Strategy and Plan it is questionable whether commitment to net zero in 2050 has real meaning beyond its symbolic value.

Suggested Policy wording

- 1. BPC is supportive of DC's Response to the Climate and Ecological Emergency, and will engage fully with the consultation and development of an action plan.
- 2. BPC will align its own plans and activities with those of DC's Climate and Ecological Emergency Strategy and Plan.

Questions for the Council

- A. Does the Council wish to adopt the suggested policy wording?
- B. Does the Council wish to declare a Climate and Ecological Emergency?

Peter Lamb, 23 July 2020